

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB75)

Received: **06/11/2009**

Received By: **btradewe**

Wanted: **Today**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

By/Representing: **Ferguson**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **btradewe**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Agriculture - food safety**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Ferguson -

Topic:

Fee for meat inspection

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	btradewe 06/11/2009	nnatzke 06/11/2009	jfrantze 06/11/2009	_____	lparisi 06/11/2009		

FE Sent For:

<END>

2009 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assembly Amendment (AA-ASA1-AB75)

Received: **06/11/2009**

Received By: **btradewe**

Wanted: **Today**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Legislative Fiscal Bureau**

By/Representing: **Ferguson**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **btradewe**

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject: **Agriculture - food safety**

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: **YES**

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC:) to:

Pre Topic:

LFB:.....Ferguson -


Topic:

Fee for meat inspection

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	btradewe	/1 nwn 6/11		6/11			

FE Sent For:

<END>

Tradewell, Becky

From: Ferguson, Paul
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 2:58 PM
To: Tradewell, Becky
Subject: Meat Safety Inspection Fee and CAFOs Permits

Attachments: Slaughter Fee Budget Amendment 6-5-09.doc

Becky,
Please find below some information on the issues mentioned in the subject line. I believe these are the last two amendments we know of at this time. The attachment relates only to the fee for meat inspection. The request regarding CAFOs permit fees is included last.

I'll be around to answer any questions you might have.

Best,
Paul

From: Hinz, Daryl
Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2009 2:36 PM
To: Ferguson, Paul
Subject: FW: amendments

From: Ammerman, Fred
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2009 7:58 AM
To: Hinz, Daryl
Subject: FW: amendments

Daryl,

Here's one for you guys. I'll let her know that this is on your team.

Fred

From: Lundquist, Lisa
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 7:04 PM
To: Ammerman, Fred
Subject: amendments

Hi Fred,

I have my first two amendments that are ready to get drafted:



Slaughter Fee
Budget Amendment..

✱ Basically, this amendment recognizes that there will be a fee established through a rule making process as the JFC language outlines but stipulates that DATCP cannot use the per head slaughter fee as it was introduced in the Governor's budget as a funding mechanism. Page 108, item 15

Assembly Democrats
2009-11 Budget Amendment Request

Date: 6-5-2009

Legislator: Rep. Amy Sue Vruwink

Staff Contact: Christian T. Plata

Statement of Amendment: that DATCP can not utilize a per-head slaughter fee assessed (on cattle, calves, swine and poultry as suggested in DATCP's budget request) when establishing the fee.

Agency: DATCP


Summary: This request is related to AGRICULTURE, TRADE AND CONSUMER PROTECTION Omnibus Motion from the Committee on Joint Finance

1. *Animal Slaughter Fee and Meat Safety Inspections [Paper #143]*. Adopt Alternative #5b, which would convert \$230,000 PR 2009-10 and \$310,000 PR in 2010-11 with 4.0 positions for animal health inspectors or compliance specialists to ACCP SEG. Create a new appropriation from the segregated ACCP fund for animal health inspections and testing and for enforcement of animal health laws. Additionally, adopt the parts of Alternative #7 to: (a) delete \$310,900 PR and \$184,000 FED in 2009-10 for meat inspections; (b) delete the creation of a fee for each animal slaughtered in establishments in the state. **However, specify that DATCP collect a fee for inspections of meat slaughtering and processing establishments as set by administrative rule beginning no sooner than July 1, 2010. Require DATCP to establish the nature and amount of the fee in consultation with representatives of industries and groups affected by the fee.**

Intent: That allows the agency to work with industry but precludes the per-head slaughter fee as a funding mechanism.

Fiscal Impact: No fiscal Impact

Funding Source *DATCP*



State of Wisconsin
2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0989/1

RCT:.....
nwn

In 6/11

LFB:.....Ferguson - Fee for meat inspection

FOR 2009-11 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT ,

TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

TO 2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 75

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 1. Page 1142, line⁹: after "fee." insert "The department may not promulgate a
3 rule under this section[✓] requiring a person operating a plant where animals are
4 slaughtered to pay a fee based on the number of animals slaughtered."[✓]

5 (END)



State of Wisconsin
2009 - 2010 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0989/1
RCT:nwn:jf

LFB:.....Ferguson - Fee for meat inspection

**FOR 2009-11 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION
ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT ,
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 2009 ASSEMBLY BILL 75**

- 1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
- 2 **1.** Page 1142, line 9: after "fee." insert "The department may not promulgate
- 3 a rule under this section requiring a person operating a plant where animals are
- 4 slaughtered to pay a fee based on the number of animals slaughtered."
- 5 (END)